

## I DON'T NOAH 'BOUT THIS

*“He prayed that the African’s color would change so that their descendants would be slaves to the Arabs and Turks.”*

**M**uhammad had a religion to sell, and by god, he was going to make it sound religious no matter how often he had to reshuffle the facts. *Tabari I:323* “I asked the Messenger of Allah how many prophets there were. He replied, ‘124,000.’ I asked him how many of those were messengers. He replied, ‘313, a large crowd.’”

That’s a problem of incalculable magnitude for Muslims. *Bukhari:V8B76N550* “I heard Allah’s Apostle saying, ‘From my followers there will be a crowd of 70,000 in number who will enter Paradise whose faces will glitter as the moon.’” These numbers don’t add up. There must be a mistake. Let’s check another Hadith. *Bukhari V4B54N410* “Verily! 70,000 of my followers will enter Paradise altogether; so that the first and the last amongst them will enter at the same time.” Sorry Muslims, Paradise is full. A giant “No Vacancy” sign is hanging over the brothel door. In fact, it’s so over-booked, 54,000 *prophets* have already been turned away. With odds like this, it’s no wonder Muslims are willing to kill for a place in line.

Now that we know Muhammad’s Paradise was oversold, how about Allah’s Hell? *Bukhari:V8B76N537* “The Prophet said, ‘Allah will say, “Adam!” “I am obedient to Your orders.” Allah will say, “Bring out the people of the Fire.” “How many are the people of the Fire?” Allah will say, “Out of every thousand take out nine-hundred and ninety-nine persons.” At that time children will become hoary-headed and every pregnant female will drop her load. You will see the people as if they were drunk. Allah’s punishment will be very severe.’” There have been more than ten billion humans born thus far. If one in every one thousand people get to frolic with Allah’s babes, the brothel’s capacity must be ten million, not seventy thousand. Somebody isn’t telling the truth.

“That news distressed the companions of the Prophet too much, and they said, ‘O Allah’s Apostle! Who amongst us will be that lucky one out of the one-thousand who will be saved from the Fire?’ He said, ‘Have the good news that one-thousand will be from Gog and Magog, and the one (to be saved will be) from you.’ [Since he wasn’t a real prophet, Muhammad didn’t know that the people of Gog and Magog would all succumb to Islam. They include today’s Iranians, Iraqis, Turks, and Muslims in the Islamic Republics of the

Russian Federation.] The Prophet added, ‘By Allah, I Hope that you Muslims will be one third of the people of Paradise.’ On that, we glorified and praised Allah by saying, ‘Allahu Akbar.’ The Prophet then said, ‘I hope that you will be one half of the people of Paradise.’” Therefore, a maximum of 35,000 Muslims will burn in lust rather than in Allah’s fire. That represents 1 in 90,000 Muslims. Said another way, if you were to fill a stadium with 90,000 Muslims, all but one would go to hell. Unless, of course, the “prophet” was lying. (In that case, they will all lose.)

Gog and Magog is Islam’s time bomb—a gift from the Jews. We’ll discuss the implications in the last chapter. For now, it’s sufficient to know that Muhammad was over-hyping hell and overselling paradise. And if his Islamic god was really *God*, we’re in trouble. He’s so unmerciful, unforgiving, unloving, intolerant, and sadistic 99.9% of us are destined for hell.

Throughout the Sunnah and Qur’an, Muhammad falsely attributes Muslim prophet and messenger status to Biblical characters in order to remake them in his image. Most every prophet/messenger listed in the Qur’an came from the Bible, including: Adam, Noah, Seth, Abraham, Lot, Jacob, Joshua, Jonah, Job, Moses, David, Solomon, Saul, Elijah, Ezra, Enoch, John, and Jesus. Moses is mentioned by name in 500 verses, Abraham in 250. Muhammad’s non-Biblical list consists of mistakes and myths. For example, the oft-mentioned Hud was from the mythical land of “Ad.” (Something Muhammad couldn’t do.)

“I asked the Messenger, ‘Who was the first of them?’ He replied, ‘Adam.’ I asked him whether Adam was a prophet sent as a messenger. He replied, ‘Yes, Allah created him with His own hand and blew some of His spirit into him. Then He immediately fashioned him in perfect shape.’” So much for fermenting him. (Qur’an 15:26 & Tabari I:261)

*Tabari I:324* “When Adam was about to die, he called his son Seth and appointed him his heir. He taught him the hours of day and night and how the creatures should worship in each hour. He informed him that each hour had its special kind of creatures to worship in it. Then he said, ‘Son, the Flood will be on earth and last seven years.’ Adam wrote his will and addressed it to him.” While there is substantial evidence of the Biblical flood, it did not last seven years, and if Adam had been a prophet he would have gotten the facts right. Further, we were just told that animals are to practice Islam, worshiping, at prescribed hours.

“After Adam’s death, political leadership fell to Seth. According to a tradition on the authority of the Messenger, Allah revealed fifty scrolls to Seth.” That would mean that between Adam and Seth, there were seventy-one scrolls of scripture. You’d think that with this vast quantity of divine revelation, the great Messenger of Allah would tell us something about their contents—some divine truth, perhaps. No. Sorry. Not even a hint. That’s because they never existed, and since they didn’t exist, they were hard to plagiarize. “O Messenger of Allah, how many books did Allah reveal?’ He replied, ‘104.’” Assuming that the Qur’an was one of them, what’s in the other 103?

We have this treasure on the authority of the Messenger, *Tabari I:328* “When the

angel of death came to seize Adam, he said, 'You have come too early. Sixty of my years remain.' The angel of death replied, 'Nothing remains. You gave those sixty years to your son David.' The Messenger continued, 'Adam denied it, and so on that day Allah established written documents and commanded the use of witnesses.'" David was 3000 years removed from Adam—hardly his son. And how can writing have been established while Adam was on his deathbed? Just a moment ago, Allah said he gave Adam twenty-one written scrolls and taught him the alphabet.

A paragraph later, we're told: "Allah wrote this down in a document and had the angels witness it.... Whereupon Allah had the document brought down and had the angels produced as witnesses against him." If Allah wrote, and if the Qur'an was important, why did it come down in the form of clanging bells and oral recitals rather than scrolls? (The answer is: it's easier to get scriptural approval for power, lust, and booty if you're making it up as you go along.)

*Tabari I:332* "Adam died. Seth and his brothers were in the regions east of Paradise at a village that was the first on earth." Wait a minute; Allah said Paradise was up, and therefore not *on* earth. And how can this be the first village if Allah created cities on the third day of creation? We were even told that his footsteps became villages en route to Mecca. Somebody ought to have edited this stuff before trying to pass it off as scripture.

The prophet's next line further confirmed that he wasn't inspired by God. "The sun and the moon were in eclipse for seven days and nights." That's impossible. The sun is eclipsed by the moon and the moon by the earth's shadow. They cannot both be "in eclipse." Even a pretend god ought to be smarter than this.

To establish a Muslim ritual, Muhammad said, "When Adam died, the angels washed him separately several times with water and prepared a burial site. They said, 'This shall be the custom among all Adam's children.'" In Islam, non-martyrs are buried this way. Martyrs, like today's suicide bombers, are not washed. The blood of innocents smeared on their bodies is a badge of honor, Allah's signal to escort them directly into paradise—wherever it might be.

"The Messenger said, 'Your father Adam was as tall as a very tall palm, that is sixty cubits. He had much hair and his privy parts were concealed. When he committed the sin of eating from the forbidden [wheat] tree, his secret part became apparent to him. He fled about in Paradise, but a tree encountered him and seized him by his forelock... When he was about to die, Allah sent down embalming chemicals and a shroud for him.'" Didn't Allah say Adam was bald because he bumped into heaven falling down? (Tabari I:298) And why would Allah need embalming fluid in heaven?

*Tabari I:333* "Ibn Ishaq said, 'He was buried in Mecca in the cave of Abu Qubays, called the Treasure Cave.'" But there is no reason to look for his big bones there because, "Noah took them out, placed them in a coffin, and carried them along in the ark.... When Noah left the ark, he buried Adam in Jerusalem. And as mentioned before, Eve spun, wove, kneaded, baked, and did all kinds of women's work."

One of the reasons I have been reporting these early Islamic Traditions is

because they provide an insight into Muhammad's character. They establish a pattern of behavior—a willingness to deceive. Specifically, they demonstrate that Muhammad was willing to pass himself off as an authority on godly and spiritual things when he clearly was not. That means his claim to being *the* Messenger of God is suspect. Therefore, so is the Qur'an and Islam.

The second reason that I have shared so many of these with you is to demonstrate that Muhammad was incapable of innovative thought. Lacking creativity and godly inspiration, he corrupted the Bible and plagiarized the Talmud. In that regard, he and the fallen angel Lucifer had a great deal in common. Simply stated, Muhammad was not capable of inventing a religion. You will soon learn that the prophet actually stole the entirety of the religious scam that became Islam.

Third, these twisted variants all serve a purpose. Muhammad used them to justify the inclusion of Qusayy's pagan practices into Islam. They gave the Five Pillars, all of which were pagan, some semblance of monotheistic credibility. Time and again, Muhammad rewrote Jewish history to make Allah, Mecca, the Ka'aba, Hajj and himself seem worthy of veneration. He recast Adam, Noah, and Abraham as Muslim messengers focused on Mecca.

If Adam, Noah, and Abraham weren't Muslims, then the preponderance of the Qur'an was stolen, not revealed. There's no other explanation for Allah's dependence on the Bible and Talmud. So Muhammad must convince us that the Jewish patriarchs worshiped Allah, received Qur'anic revelations, made journeys to Mecca, built the Ka'aba, worshiped there, and performed a complex array of Islamic rituals. If he fails in this regard, if his revisionist stories aren't *believable*, then the Qur'an is a forgery, Islam is a counterfeit, and Muhammad was a fraud. That being the case, there is no justification for them to kill us over it.

Finally, Muhammad corrupts the lives and words of Adam, Noah, Lot, and Abraham to excuse his pathetic existence. The Islamic Adam had a cavalry; he was a warrior and a tyrant just like Muhammad. Like the Islamic prophet, the Muslim Cain was guilty of incest; he was driven to murder by lust. The Islamic Noah wanted his people to be slaughtered for mocking him. The Islamic Abraham destroyed the small idols so that his people would turn to the largest one. Muhammad recast these men in his image. They were all embroiled in arguments with their peers that mirrored Muhammad's Meccan trials. In each case Allah punished those who rejected the messenger just as Muhammad claims he will torment those who deny him.



With Muhammad's agenda in mind, let's march ahead. *Tabari* 1:335 “**Seth** stayed in Mecca performing the hajj pilgrimage and the lesser umrah until he died. He

added the scrolls revealed by Allah to those of his father, Adam, and acted in accordance with their contents. He built the Ka'aba with stones and clay." If the Ka'aba gets one more builder it's going to become the Tower of Babel.

In the interim, we have the scrolls of babel: "The people of the Torah said, 'Enoch was born to Jared. Allah granted him the gift of prophecy. He revealed thirty scrolls to him. He was the first after Adam to write and to exert himself in Allah's Cause [Jihad]. He was the first to cut and sew clothes. He was the first to lead some of Cain's descendants into captivity and to enslave them.'" Not to be picky, but I thought that Eve was a stay-at-home mom. And I suppose fighting made Enoch the first good Muslim, a devout religious lad following in the footsteps of Muhammad.

We now have one hundred scrolls. How is it then that the first mentioned in the Qur'an were from Abraham and Moses, who have yet to be born? Qur'an 87:18: "This is surely in the earlier Books, the Books of Abraham and Moses."

The next Hadith confirms the source of Muhammad's religious material. *Tabari I:346* "Someone among the people of the Torah said, 'Methuselah was born to Enoch. He was the first to ride horses, because he followed his father's prescribed practice with respect to the jihad.'" How could Adam have established a cavalry, as an earlier Hadith claimed (*Tabari I:318*), if he didn't ride? Or why, if he didn't fight?

"The people of the Torah say that Lamech was born to Methuselah and that Lamech begat Noah." But it is Muhammad who tells us in the Hadith that Noah's people were just like the Meccans of his day: "Noah would admonish his people, but they mocked him. Allah revealed that he had given Noah's people a postponement from punishment so that they might apologize. Noah's people worshiped idols. So Allah sent His punishment down upon them and annihilated them." Everything Muhammad claimed happened to Noah actually happened to him.

Starting with the sixth chapter, *Prophet of Doom* will focus entirely on Muhammad's life. But between now and then you should know that the Qur'an says it was Muhammad who "admonished his people, but they mocked him." So "Allah revealed that He had given" the Meccans "a postponement from punishment so that they might apologize" to Muhammad.

Thirty times in the Qur'an, and countless more in the Hadith, Muhammad replays this twisted variant of Noah. Each is designed to scare the Meccans into submission. By remaking Noah in his image, and recasting Meccans in the role of Noah's people, Muhammad was able to make his impotent and vicious god appear capable of serving his prophet's interests. This was an incredibly clever approach. Muhammad knew that his "god" wasn't real, and thus Allah was incapable of miracles. So by ascribing a prior miracle to him, his toothless tiger grew fangs.

This next Hadith forces us to make a choice. Either Noah was an Islamic prophet or Islam is a lie. *Tabari I:353* "According to a report on the authority of early Muslim scholars, there were ten generations between Adam and Noah, all of them were followers of Islam. Unbelief originated only in the generation to whom Noah was sent. Noah

was the first prophet to be sent by Allah as a Messenger to a people to warn them and call them to the recognition of the oneness of Allah. Some say that Noah's people committed wickedness, drinking wine, and letting their preoccupation with musical instruments divert them from submission to Allah." If you are agonizing over whether Muhammad has told the truth, think about this: he said "drinking wine" was "wicked" and yet the rivers of Allah's Paradise "flow with wine." Muhammad condemned those who "listened to music," and yet "the angels sing."

"The Qur'an reports that they had idols, for Allah says speaking about Noah, 'My Lord they have been disobedient to me and have followed one whose property and children only add to his loss.'" The real Noah never said such a thing. He wasn't interested in someone submitting to him; he had property and sons. When we reconcile why Muhammad put these awkward words into Noah's mouth we discover that it was actually Islam's prophet who demanded obedience and was denied. It was Muhammad who had neither sons nor property. What's more, the official heirs to the Ka'aba, the property he coveted, had sons.

"They have devised a major plot and said, 'Don't give up your gods. Don't give up Wadd, Suwa, and Nasr. They have led many astray.'" [Qur'an 71:21] While Noah never plotted, Muhammad schemed against his own family for control of a similar list of false gods. Falsely projecting one's faults upon an opponent is an effective and timeless political strategy. It's one Muhammad used to perfection. It is one of the Qur'an's least appealing and most repetitive themes.

Fearing god's terror, however, is unique to Islam. *Tabari 1:354* "Allah sent Noah to them to make them afraid of His awesome power and to warn them of His assault. Noah called upon them to act in accordance with the demands given by Allah to His messengers and revealed by Him in the scrolls of Adam, Seth, and Enoch." Like most Islamic Traditions, this one was written to explain the Qur'an, to provide a sense of purpose and place to a book that lacks context, history, and chronology. As we dive into the surah for which this was written, notice the similarity between Muhammad's voice and Allah's.

*071.001* "Surely We sent Noah to his people, saying: 'Warn your people before there comes upon them a painful punishment.' He said: 'O my people! Surely I am a warner to you that you should fear Him and obey me.'" Why Muhammad had Noah's say such things will become increasingly obvious. But before we leave this opening verse, I'd like to point out one of the many differences between the Qur'an and the Bible. Biblical prophets told us to love and obey Yahweh, not themselves. Islam's prophet ordered Muslims to *fear* Allah and obey *Muhammad*.

The following verse replicates Muhammad's experience in Mecca down to the smallest nuance. *071.003* "He said: 'I have called my people night and day! But my call has only made them flee the more. They put their fingers in their ears and persist, puffed up with pride.'" *071.008* "Then I called to them aloud. I spoke to them in public and I spoke to them in secret. I said, 'Ask forgiveness of your Lord. He will send down upon you the cloud, pouring down abundance of rain, and help you with wealth and sons, and make

for you gardens, and make for you rivers.” Holy cloudburst. This is a sadistic joke. The last thing Noah’s clan needed was rain. But since this story was being recast for Muhammad’s tribe in central Arabia, a place that was desperate for water, the reward must have seemed divine. So to make Noah look like a mini-Muhammad, the victims of the flood were to be rewarded with a deluge. How’s that for a twist?

*071.013* “What is the matter with you that you do not fear Allah? He has created you through various grades. Do you not see how He has created the seven heavens, one above another, and made the moon a light, and made the sun a lamp? ...Allah has made you grow out of the earth as a growth.” The harder Muhammad tried to prove Allah was actually god; the less godly Allah actually appeared.

In this next verse Allah’s words are virtually identical to Muhammad’s in an earlier Hadith. It makes you wonder who was speaking for whom. *071.021* “Noah said, ‘Lord, they have disobeyed me and followed him whose wealth and children have added nothing but loss. They have planned a very great plot. And they say: “By no means leave your gods, nor leave Wadd, Suwa and Nasr.”’” We have traversed time and brought Noah into Muhammad’s Mecca. Only the names have been changed to protect the guilty. The Meccan gods’ names were Manat, Al-Lat, and Al-Uzza while their Arabian rivals were Wadd, Suwa, and Nasr.

*071.024* “They have led astray many. Because of their wrongs they were drowned, then made to enter fire, so they did not find any helpers besides Allah. [How was Allah a helper if they were drowned and roasted?] And Noah said, ‘My Lord, leave not one of the unbelievers on the earth. For surely if You leave them they will lead astray Your slaves, and will not beget any but immoral, ungrateful children.’” Saying that Noah asked God to wipe out his people is a sacrilege. Nothing could be further from the truth.

But by saying this, the Qur’an has given us a window into the soul of its prophet. It was Muhammad who wanted his clan punished, not Noah. The Meccans mocked Muhammad, so he threatened to slaughter them. And while you will read these words later, directly from Muhammad’s lips, for now he’s too big a weasel to stand up and accept responsibility. His thoughts have been attributed to another, besmirching a noble man’s character.

So ask yourself: why would the Qur’an put such ugly words on Noah’s lips? What did Muhammad have to gain by deceiving his people? These lies didn’t spontaneously appear; there must have been a motive. And if there were a motive, the lie was more than immoral; it became criminal.

While the Qur’anic account of Noah is foolish and mean-spirited, these things aren’t crimes, nor is making a mistake. Lying is, however, when it’s done for material gain. Today we call it fraud. The legal definition is: deceit or trickery designed to garner an unfair or dishonest advantage. Fraud is a knowing departure from truth to purposely mislead others for the sole purpose of unwarranted material gain. That’s precisely what is happening here.

During this phase of Muhammad’s “ministry” in Mecca he wanted his

tribe to abandon all the Ka'aba gods except Allah—the idol that had “called” him to be its prophet. In this verse, Muhammad went on the attack, saying that the lesser idols needed to go so that his idol and his idol's messenger could gain control over the Ka'aba Inc.—the town's religious scam. The power and wealth Muhammad coveted flowed into the pockets of those who controlled Allah's House. So Muhammad was willing to deceive his kin to garner an unfair advantage over them for material gain. Islam's prophet profited by perpetrating a fraud. A crime has been committed.

Fortunately, a little outcome-based math will help lighten the mood. **“Allah sent Noah to his people when he was 350 years old. He stayed among them 950 years. Allah sent Noah to them when he was 480 [not 350] years old. Allah commanded Noah to build the ark. He boarded it at the age of 600 [not at 1300].”** Can you imagine attending an Islamic math class?

**“All those people drowned.”** Maybe the Islamic Noah's preaching wasn't any more positive than Muhammad's. *Tabari I:358* **“Noah's people used to grab him and choke him until he became unconscious. When Allah wanted to ruin them, Noah cursed them, saying, ‘Lord, they have disobeyed me and have followed one whose property and children only add to his loss...Lord, let no unbeliever stay on the earth!’”** The Hadith claim the worst abuse Muhammad endured in Mecca was being grabbed and choked. And as a result of being disobeyed, Muhammad cursed his people. Every word of this is designed to recast the Biblical patriarch into the spitting image of Muhammad.

Early Muslims had a problem keeping their stories straight and their facts believable. **“The length of Noah's [supertanker] ark was 1,200 cubits, and its width was 600 cubits.”** A nearly four thousand-foot boat—improbabilities like this in supposedly inspired scripture are troubling...or telling.

Listen to this: *Tabari I: 367* **“The Apostles said to Jesus, ‘Would you send us a man who saw the ark and could tell us about it.’ He took a handful of the earth in his palm and asked, ‘Do you know what this is?’”** While it is hard to believe they couldn't answer the question, it provides an insight into Islam. Muslims don't think, they obey. **“‘Allah and His prophet know best!’ they replied. Jesus said, ‘This is the grave of Noah's son, Ham.’ He struck the hill with his staff and said, ‘Rise with Allah's permission!’ And behold there was Ham, with gray hair, shaking the earth from his head.”** With a miracle of this magnitude, you'd think the Apostles would have at least mentioned it in their Gospels. But they didn't, I suppose, because it didn't happen. What's more, if Jesus resurrected a relatively meaningless figure like Ham, why didn't Allah empower Muhammad, his most important messenger, to bring Abraham back to life, or Moses? Or Jesus, for that matter.

**“Jesus asked him whether he had perished in that state with gray hair. Ham replied, ‘No when I died, I was a young man, but I thought the Hour [of Doom] had come, and my hair turned gray.’ Jesus said, ‘Tell us about Noah's ark.’ He said, ‘It was 1,200 cubits long and 600 cubits wide. It had three stories, one for domestic and wild animals, another for**

human beings, and a third for birds. When the dung of the animals became excessive, Allah inspired Noah to tickle the elephant's tail. He did, and a male and female hog fell down and attacked the dung. When the rat fell down into the seams of the planks of the ark and gnawed at them, Allah inspired Noah to strike the lion between its eyes and a male and female cat came out from its nose and attacked the rat." Where does one sign up for a religion like this?

"Jesus asked Ham, 'How did Noah learn that all the places had been under water?' He replied, 'He sent the raven to bring him information, but it found a corpse and pounced upon it, whereupon Noah cursed the raven that it should be fearful; therefore the raven does not like houses. He sent the dove. It came with an olive leaf in its beak and clay on its feet. Noah thus knew....' The Apostles said, 'O Messenger of Allah, why do you not bring him to our people so that he can sit down and talk with us?' Jesus replied, 'How could one who has no sustenance [substance?] follow you?' Jesus said to Ham, 'Go back with Allah's permission.' And Ham turned to dust again."

Rather than jump into the middle of the Qur'an's second version of the deluge, I'd like to sneak up on it so that you might begin to see how Allah manages to weave in his favorite themes. *011.001* "This is a Book [I beg to differ. That simply wasn't true. The Qur'an wouldn't become a book for three decades or more. Most of the revelations weren't even written down. And when the first scribe assigned to Muhammad actually tried to jot some down, he was assassinated.] whose verses are made decisive, then are they made plain, from the Wise, All-aware, [He is entitled to his own opinion, but not his own facts. This is a statement of opinion, as unsubstantiated as it may be.] that you shall not serve any but Allah; surely I am a warner for you from Him and a giver of good news."

*011.012* "Then, it may be that you [Muhammad] will give up part of what is revealed to you...because they say: 'Why has not a treasure been sent down upon him or an angel come to him?'" The things that bugged Muhammad and the Meccans the most were: no money and no proof. The prophet was born into the wealthiest family in Mecca, the custodians of the Ka'aba. Being Allah's keeper had made his kin rich. But not Muhammad, he was abandoned at birth. Dirt poor, he envied—no despised—his own family, cursed them and coveted the wealth they derived from the family religious scam.

The prophet's tormentors wanted to know why a god who had made them rich would leave his messenger poor. They wanted to know why there weren't any miracles if Allah was so powerful. Muhammad was comparing himself to Moses and Jesus. "They did miracles. They were visited by angels. Why not you?" the Meccans probed.

As an interesting aside, the verse asks: "Why hasn't an angel come to him?" The 11<sup>th</sup> surah was late Meccan, the seventy-fifth in order of revelation. The man who claimed his "revelations" were angelic, had yet to see an angel.

The Qur'an is comprised of a raw and raging debate. Muhammad's tribe disputed his claims and mocked him unmercifully, saying his religion was a

forgery, a counterfeit. *011.013* **“Or, do they say: ‘He (Muhammad) has forged it (the Qur’an).’”** His contemporaries knew he was a fraud. And they weren’t the least bit shy about saying so. They described him with the same words I am using.

So how do you think Muhammad answered this charge? **“Say: ‘Then bring ten forged surahs like it and call upon whom you can besides Allah, if you are truthful.’”** The Qur’an is saying that this rubbish smells so sweet it can’t be garbage. “No one, short of the great Allah himself, is capable of such a wondrous forgery.” If that’s not delusional, Webster needs to redefine the word.

There were many who rose to the challenge, creating vastly superior prose. Such enlightened men and women were called poets. Oral recitals were the only means of communication because the Bedouins of Muhammad’s day were illiterate. And having read the prologue, you know how our hero rewarded the poets who “brought chapters like it.” He assassinated them. Dead men tell no tales. *011.014* **“But if they do not answer you, then know that it is revealed by Allah’s knowledge and no god may be worshiped but He; will you submit?”** *Submit.* Not agree. Not understand. Not even believe. Islam is submission.

Have you ever heard a politician say, “Let me make this perfectly clear?” At that moment all you know for certain is that his or her position is anything but clear. Allah uses the phrase “clear proof” in defense of Muhammad with great regularity. Yet he never provides any proof—clear or otherwise. *011.017* **“Is [Muhammad] he who has with him clear proof (the Qur’an) from his Lord, a witness from Him reciting it and before it came the Book of Moses? These believe in it; but most men do not believe. The different sects (Jews, Christians, and all other non-Muslim nations) will reject (the Qur’an), so the Fire of Hell will be their promised meeting place.”**

A second insight here is “most men do not believe.” After ten years of preaching to his hometown this prophet inspired less than fifty men. Muhammad was a complete failure as a religious prophet. Islam, the religion of submission, failed in Mecca when Muhammad relied on his words. Islam, the political doctrine of submission succeeded in Medina when Muhammad relied on his sword. Had Muhammad not changed from prophet to pirate, we wouldn’t have heard of him and his followers wouldn’t be killing us today.

Speaking of the Jewish and Christian “sects,” and non-Muslim nations, the Qur’an asks: *011.017* **“Who is more unjust than he who forges a lie against Allah? ... Surely the curse of Allah is on them...and the punishment shall be doubled for them.”** Allah, like Muhammad, was eager to curse and punish those who didn’t capitulate.

Let’s examine the forgeries. As we do, notice the number of times Allah says “surely,” which could be translated as, “trust me.” *011.025* **“Surely We sent Noah to his people: ‘Surely I am a warner for you that you shall not serve any but Allah, surely I fear for you the punishment of a painful day.’ But the chiefs of those who disbelieved from among his people said: ‘We do not consider you but a mortal like ourselves, and we do not see any who have followed you but those who are the meanest of us. We do not see in you any excellence over us. Nay, we deem you a liar.’”** If we were to simply

substitute speakers, Muhammad for Noah, we could dispense with the core of the Meccan surahs and replace them with this bogus bill.

*011.028* “Noah said: ‘O my people, tell me if I have come with clear proofs from my Lord....but I consider you a people who are ignorant.’” Islam’s counterfeit Noah said: *011.030* “Will you not mind? I do not say to you that I have the treasures of Allah and I do not know the unseen, nor do I say that I am an angel.” This is also transparent. Noah is saying on behalf of Muhammad that he is powerless to get his people to mind. He doesn’t have god’s wealth, his insights, or angelic support. He’s a prophet who can’t predict the future. By corrupting Noah in this way, Muhammad infers that all of god’s messengers were equally inept.

*011.032* “They said: ‘Noah, you have disputed with us at length, therefore bring to us what you threaten us with, if you are truthful.’ [The Meccans demanded the same thing and Muhammad’s response was identical.] He said: ‘Allah only will bring it to you if He pleases, and you will not escape. I intend to give you good advice, but my advice will not profit you if Allah intends to lead you astray.’ Or do they say: ‘He has forged it? Say: ‘If I have forged it, on me is my guilt.’” If this were really god speaking, one would think he’d be a little less transparent with his agenda. Recasting Noah this blatantly, this crassly, as Muhammad, would only fool a fool.

Next, the Qur’an reveals the problem of predestination: *011.036* “It was revealed to Noah: ‘None of your people will believe except those who have already believed, therefore do not grieve at what they do. Make the ark before Our eyes and do not speak to Me in respect of those who are unjust; surely they shall be drowned.’” In other words, “Don’t preach to your brothers and sisters, sons and daughters, friends and family. Don’t love them; don’t pray for their souls. I’ve got other plans for them.”

*011.038* “And he began to make the ark; and whenever the chiefs from among his people passed by him they laughed at him. He said: ‘If you laugh at us, surely we laugh at you. So shall you know whom a chastisement will disgrace, and on whom will lasting punishment come down.’” Muhammad hated being scoffed at. So while we’re on the subject of mockery, let’s review a few of the four hundred variations of this theme in the Qur’an. All are allegedly from Allah, and all are in defense of Muhammad. In surah 2:14: “We were joking. But Allah will turn the joke against them.” In surah 2:212: “Enamored are the unbelievers and they scoff at the faithful.” In surah 5:55: “O believers, do not make friends with those who mock and make a sport of your faith, those who were given the [Bible] Book before you.” And from 6:10: “Surely the apostles have been mocked before you; but what they had mocked rebounded on the mockers themselves.” It’s a broken record—one that required Muhammad to break the record of history so that no one would notice how odd it was for god and prophet to constantly mock their mockers.

*011.040* “Our command came and water flowed forth from the valley. ‘Embark in it, in the name of Allah be its sailing and its anchoring; most surely my Lord is Forgiving, Merciful.’” The idea that the ark had sails and an anchor is as incongruous in the context of a flood as depicting Allah as forgiving and merciful. His callous slap on

Adam's back sealed our fate. Being predestined to the fires of hell precludes forgiveness and mercy. They are mutually exclusive, which means one or both statements must be false. Not good if you're pretending to be God.

Within the Biblical account from which this tale was forged there is considerable truth. Yahweh was indeed grieved over man's behavior. He created us in his image, with a spiritual component, so that we could have a relationship with him. But we, as we have a tendency to do, acted badly. Fortunately, rather than giving up on us entirely and letting us prematurely disintegrate into the barbaric mentality of the twentieth century, Yahweh opted for a fresh start. He saved those who chose to be with him. He told Noah to build an ark as a means of salvation—separating Noah and Yahweh from those who had chosen a less godly path.

Noah, like all men created in God's image at the time, lived near the Garden of Eden, at the headwaters of the Tigris and Euphrates Rivers. That put him quite near the Black Sea. There is overwhelming evidence of a flood of Biblical proportions there. Traces of human settlements dating to Noah's day have been discovered buried under the shores of this inland ocean.

The Bible gives us evidence of an old earth and "humans" apart from spirit-filled man—man created in God's image. Yahweh instructed Noah to cover the ark with pitch—the residue of dead plants, animals, and time. He also talked to Noah about the sons of God marrying the daughters of men. And Yahweh told us that he put a mark on Cain's forehead so that others would know that he was under his protection. If mom and dad were the only humans, that would have served no purpose.

I share these insights because I want you to know several things. When Yahweh said that he made us out of the same elements from which he made the animals he was speaking of humans—*Homo sapiens*. Adam was a special creation—made of the same raw material but with one important difference. Yahweh breathed his spirit into Adam, creating us with a spiritual dimension.

The Garden of Eden was perfect, walled and guarded, protecting Adam from the death and dying that was occurring all around him—outside of God's protection. But ultimately Adam chose poorly, as did most of his descendants. And that brought us to Noah, his ark of salvation, and a very real flood that led to this new beginning.

The Qur'an follows its revisionist account of Noah's with another fairytale: *011.050* **"To Ad We sent Hud. He said: 'O my people, serve Allah, you have no Ilah (God) other than He. You are nothing but forgers of lies.... Allah will send on you clouds pouring down abundance of rain.'" The legendary Hud is giving the mythical Ad the same speech. And that's a problem. It reveals that Allah lacks imagination, an editor, and a memory. The story of the Ad is repeated eleven times. And elsewhere in the Qur'an, Allah says that the Ad were blown away, not flooded. In surah 15 we read: "So those who were Ad turned insolent unjustly in the land, and said, 'Who**

is stronger than us?' Did they not see that Allah who created them was far greater in power than they? Yet they refused to believe Our signs so We let loose on them a violent wind for several days of distress to make them taste a most disgraceful punishment here in this world, and far more shameful will be the punishment in hell. They will have no savior."

The story of Noah and his supertanker continued by informing us that Ham made the boat and that he had a brother, Yam. Yam is the unnamed son mentioned in Qur'an 11:42. And then: *Tabari I:360* "The first animal to be put aboard was the ant, and the last the donkey. When Noah brought the donkey in, Iblis [Lucifer] attached himself to its tail, so that it could not lift its legs. Noah started to say, 'Woe to you. Go in.' The donkey rose but was unable to move. Noah said, 'Woe to you. Go in even if Satan is with you.' It was a slip of the tongue, but when Noah said it, Satan let the donkey proceed. It went in, and Satan went in with it. [Lucifer and his fellow jinn must have been deathly afraid of water. Being made of fire, they would have been snuffed out, I suppose.] Noah said, 'How did you get in here with me?' Satan replied, 'You cannot escape from having me on board.' Satan stayed in the rear of the boat."

There was a reason for the Satanic slip. Muhammad's most embarrassing moment, the Satanic Verses, was called a slip of the lip. He said that Satan put words in his mouth when he acknowledged Mecca's pagan idols in return for a bribe. This story was designed to drag Noah down to his level.

Then, straight out of Islam's warped mind we learn: "Noah also carried along Adam's corpse, making it a barrier between the women and men." Since they were all married and from the same family, why? "They boarded the boat on the 10<sup>th</sup> of Rajab and they left on Ashura Day, therefore all people should fast on Ashura. Before the Flood, two sons were reportedly born to Noah who both perished. One was called Canaan. He was drowned in the flood." Well, if you don't believe that, and you shouldn't, what about this: "All the forefathers between Noah and Adam were in the state of Islam." Yes, all the Bible characters were good Muslims.

"The ark carried them around the entire Earth in six months. It did not come to rest anywhere until it came to the Sacred Territory of Mecca and Medina. [We should have guessed.] However, it did not enter the Sacred Territory but circled around for a week. [Circumnavigation must have preceded circumambulation.] The House built by Adam was lifted up, so that it was not submerged—the inhabited House with the Black Stone." Since every soul was aboard the ark, the only thing that could have "inhabited the House with the Black Stone" was Allah. In other words, the Ka'aba wasn't a shrine to the Islamic god as Muslims would have us believe; Allah lived there.

"The oven with the water boiling that Allah made as sign between Himself and Noah was the oven of stone that belonged to Eve.... On Friday, the 10<sup>th</sup> of Rajab it took off. It passed the House, which had been lifted up by Allah so it would not be submerged. Noah circumnavigated it seven times. It then went to Yemen and returned." Ar-Rahman lived in Yemen; Muhammad didn't want to leave him out.

With these words we say goodbye to Volume I of Tabari: "The eras were: from the Flood to the fire of Abraham, from the fire of Abraham to the mission of Joseph,

from the mission of Joseph to the mission of Moses, from the mission of Moses to the reign of Solomon, from the reign of Solomon to the mission of Jesus, and from the mission of Jesus, to when the Messenger of Allah was sent.” So what happened? Did Allah run out of Jews? And since every era is centered around Jerusalem, including Muhammad’s only trip to heaven, why is Mecca sacred? Fact is, Mecca didn’t exist—nor would it exist for another 3,500 years.



The Genesis account of Abraham begins in the 12<sup>th</sup> chapter. It’s a wonderful story about Yahweh’s relationship with man and his covenant with his people. It is also prophetic, warning us about the character of Ishmael and his descendants: “He will be a wild ass of a man. His hand will be against his brother and his brother’s hand will be against him. And he will live in hostility with all the world.” Sounds like tomorrow’s newspaper, doesn’t it?

The Islamic version of Abraham unfolds with these words: *Tabari II:49* **“Most of the earlier sages have said that Abraham was born during the era of Nimrod bin Cush, while most historians say that Nimrod was an official of Azdahaq. Some have asserted that Noah was sent to Nimrod, against Babylon. We have been told—though Allah knows best—that Dahhak was Nimrod and that Abraham was born during his days, and that Nimrod was his master who wanted to burn him.”** I beg to differ with the Muslim sages. But since nothing they have said thus far has made any sense, why start now?

I suppose you’d like to know who Azdahaq, Nimrod, and Dahhak are. While Nimrod is mentioned in the Bible, I’ll defer to the Muslims with regard to Azdahaq. They say, *Tabari II:3* **“Qarishat was tyrannical. One day he became engrossed in thought...Allah transformed him into Azdahaq. He had seven heads and was the one who lived on the highest mountain in Iran. All the historians, both Arab and Persian, claim that he ruled over every clime and that he was a wicked sorcerer.”**

So with that cleared up, let’s see what the Islamic scholars have to say about Dahhak, whom they believe to be Nimrod. **“Dahhak reigned for one thousand years after Jam—but Allah knows better. He ruled over all the earth, displaying tyranny and oppression. He killed excessively and was the first to enact the punishments of crucifixion and mutilation. [I don’t know about mutilation, but crucifixion was developed by the Assyrians and perfected by the Romans.] He was the first to levy tithes [religious tax] and to mint dirhams.”** If Dahhak was Nimrod, the great Babylonian king, he was a murdering tyrant. He was also creative. According to the archeological evidence, Nimrod and his wife Semiramis invented the pagan practices that grew to become the basis of Egyptian, Greek, and Roman mythology. His Mystery Babylon religion strongly influenced Hinduism, Islam, and Catholicism. Nimrod is credited with building the first temples, hiring the first clerics, and establishing the first rituals. He was the first to fleece the flock and to control a population via a religious scam. Muhammad would have looked up

to Nimrod as a role model.

This Islamic tradition goes on to claim, “It is said that there were two ganglia growing out of his shoulders, which caused pain. It became so intense he would anoint them with the brains of human beings. For this purpose he killed two men each day and anointed his ganglia with their brains. When he did, the pain would abate.” I’m pleased there is a cure for ganglia. Now all we need is a cure for Islam, for it too consumes men’s brains.

*Tabari II:4* “A commoner in Babylon rebelled against him. He set up a war banner and many people rallied. When Dahhak heard this he was alarmed and sent the following message: ‘What is the matter? What do you want?’ The man replied, ‘Do you not say that you are the ruler of the world, and that the world belongs to you?’” As a fellow megalomaniac, scam artist, and terrorist murderer, Dahhak/Nimrod served to make Muhammad’s life seem sane, I suppose.

Let’s compare the description we have just read to what Muhammad had to say about himself: *Bukhari:V1B7N331* “The Prophet said, ‘I have been given five things which were not given to anyone else. Allah made me victorious with terror. The Earth was made for me.... Booty was made lawful for me yet it was not lawful for anyone else. I was given the right of intercession on the Day of Doom. Every Prophet used to be sent to his nation only, but I have been sent to all mankind.’” Chilling!

Now that I have your attention, let’s return to the story. “The rebellious man said, ‘Let your thirst be for all the world and not only for us, for you only kill our people.’” Yes, there was a happier time in which Muslims only killed Muslims. Now they reach out and touch everyone. “Dahhak/Nimrod agreed and ordered that the two men slain each day would be divided among all people, not from just one nation.”

“Dahhak was Nimrod and Abraham was born during his era.... Dahhak had been a rebel who had seized the people of the land with witchcraft and deceit and had completely terrified them with the two serpents which had been on his shoulders.” Let’s compare the Dahhak/Nimrod duo, which we are told are one in the same with another inseparable duo, Allah/Muhammad. From surah 3:151: “We shall strike terror into the hearts of unbelievers.” From 4:89: “Seize them and kill them wherever you find them.” In 4:142 we find: “Surely the hypocrites strive to deceive Allah, and He shall retaliate by deceiving them.” Then: *Bukhari:V7B71N661* “Magic was worked on Allah’s Apostle and he was bewitched so that he began to imagine doing things which in fact, he had not done.” I’m sure these similarities are just a coincidence. After all, one indistinguishable pair claimed to be god and prophet; the other a witch and tyrant. As such, it would be wrong for them to have anything in common.

“Dahhak/Nimrod built a city in Babylon called Harb [War]. He made Nabateans his couriers. The people were subjected to every kind of pressure, and he slew young boys.” In continuing with the similarities between Nimrod and Muhammad, you should know that the prophet pressured Arabs to submit to Islam. Those who didn’t were slain. *Bukhari:V4B52N260* “The Prophet said, ‘If a Muslim discards his religion, kill him.’” Some would consider that “pressure.”

In upcoming volumes of Tabari's History and in Ishaq's Sira we will be regaled with Hadith showing Muhammad murdering young boys. In one shameful episode he decapitated an entire tribe, slaughtering every boy over the age of twelve. The Muslim historians are making up these Nimrod myths in order to make Muhammad's murderous behavior appear normal.

To give this tall tale a religious slant, the Traditions wove Noah into the plot. This plagiarized, albeit twisted, account proclaims: *Tabari II:11* **"Shem, the son of Noah was the father of the Arabs, the Persians, and the Greeks; Ham was the father of the Black Africans; and Japheth was the father of the Turks and of Gog and Magog who were cousins of the Turks."** Then after being told that "Noah slept with his genitals exposed," we learn: "Noah prayed that the prophets and apostles would be descended from Shem and kings would be from Japheth. He prayed that the African's color would change so that their descendants would be slaves to the Arabs and Turks." I wonder if Louis Farrakhan knows this? Probably not—he was too busy corrupting the sniper Muhammad.

We have finally arrived at the real point of the Nimrod stories: *Tabari II:18* **"Nimrod was the son of Cush bin Canaan bin Ham bin Noah. He was the lord of Babylon and of Abraham."** Muslims were on solid ground so long as they stuck to the Biblical account, but the moment they left it, they buried themselves. Nimrod died seven hundred years before Abraham was born. And Muhammad told us that Canaan died in the flood.

The actual history of the city-states of Babylon, Nineveh, and Ur is fascinating. This is where the stylus first met the tablet. It is out of the land of the Babylonians, Assyrians, and Chaldeans that recorded history began. It was here that the first laws were written, the first business was conducted, the first armies marched, the first students were taught, and the first sermons were preached. Their sermons and swords ultimately shaped the world—even unto our present day. Islam was derived in part from an offshoot of the religious scam concocted by Nimrod in Babylon. The original religion of Abraham in nearby Ur was based upon a derivative masculine moon deity named "Sin." Moon-god shrines like the Ka'aba were erected to him all over Arabia with rites that mirrored those invented in Babylon.

The people of the Fertile Crescent turned the sun, moon, and stars into gods and exported them at swordpoint. The constellations and planets were studied, and astrologists began the occult religions equating their movements to human behavior. This was the first time the masses were subjected to the will of cleric and king by way of divine right. It would not be the last.

Many of Catholicism's rituals, festivals, and doctrines were derived from Nimrod. The devotion to the virgin with child, priests as intermediaries between god and man, the celebration of Christmas, Lent, and Easter are but a few examples of religious trappings from Babylon, not the Bible.

I share this with you not to expose Catholicism's foundations, nor turn

this into a mere history lesson, but so you might understand the nature of these Islamic religious traditions better. Up to this point, all we have had to work with is reason and internal contradiction to decide if Muhammad was telling the truth when he recast the Genesis accounts of creation, Adam, Satan, and Noah. Yes, they were incriminating, full of contradictions, and inaccuracies. And yes, Muhammad's agenda of making Mecca and the Ka'aba important and his own rather unprophet-like behavior seem religious was always transparent. But now we have a new tool: history.

Just as the Septuagint and the Dead Sea Scrolls disprove Allah's assertion that the Jews corrupted their scriptures, the clay tablets of Ur, Babylon, and Nineveh prove that Muhammad lied when he tried to reshape Abraham into a compatriot of Nimrod. And this lie is not without consequence. If Abraham was not as Allah claims, the Qur'an disintegrates. Abraham is the lone, thin string that connects Islam to the Bible. And without the Bible, all that is rational and religious in the Qur'an evaporates, and Islam with it.

Abraham's story is repeated thirty times in the Qur'an in fifteen different surahs. We hear Adam's tale of woe four times. Noah was a crowd pleaser; the mocked messenger makes thirty appearances in thirty different surahs. You just can't get enough death and destruction. That's why Lot, Abraham's nephew and a relatively minor Biblical character, débuts no fewer than fifteen times. He too, was recast as Allah's Messenger. The people didn't obey, so wham—fire and brimstone. Moses, the great liberator, prophet, and miracle worker, was the most frequently named character in Allah's book, with a stunning 500 appearances. The longest surah, the 2<sup>nd</sup>, was dedicated to the golden calf the Israelites foolishly crafted in the wilderness. The 10<sup>th</sup> surah is called "Jonah." The 12<sup>th</sup> is named after and preoccupied with "Joseph." The 14<sup>th</sup> is dedicated to "Abraham." The 17<sup>th</sup> is named after the Jews, the "Children of Israel." The 19<sup>th</sup> derives its name from "Mary," mother of Jesus. The 21<sup>st</sup> is dedicated to the Hebrew "Prophets." Only one is named for Muhammad and just one bears the name of his first god, "Ar-Rahman." "Noah" has a surah named in his honor, but so do the "Pen," "Jinn," "Signs of the Zodiac," the "Sun," and "Moon.

Without the Bible, the Qur'an would be a very thin book. Detached from its influence, Islam loses most of its religious trappings. This is the reason Muslims are so insistent that the God of the Bible and the god of the Qur'an are the same. For if they are not, Islam's reliance on the Bible becomes an insurmountable liability rather than the religion's lone asset.



As we turn the page we are confronted with some rather astonishing Nimrod fairytales. It's odd that a historian like Tabari would jettison his chronology to insert these randomly throughout his depictions of Noah and Abraham. I'm

surprised that he even presents them at all, but nonetheless, they are there, and thus we must deal with them. *Tabari II:106* “Nimrod’s arrogance and rebelliousness against his Lord went on for a long time—some say four hundred years—in spite of Allah’s forbearance with him.”

The pot calls the kettle black. “Nimrod was ignorant. He was the first tyrant on earth.” As a result: “Allah sent gnats against them [the Babylonians, I suppose], and they ate their flesh and drank their blood, and nothing but their bones were left. But Allah gave Nimrod a single gnat which entered his nostril and went on beating the inside of his head with hammers for four hundred years. The most merciful of his friends was he who bunched up his hands and beat Nimrod’s head with them.”

That really made him mad. *Tabari II:107* “Nimrod vowed to seek out Abraham’s God. So he took four eagle fledglings and nurtured them on meat and wine so that they grew up tough and strong. Then he tied them to a chest and sat in it. He suspended a piece of meat over them and they flew up trying to reach it. When they had risen high in the sky, Nimrod looked down and beheld the earth. He saw the mountains crawling below like creeping ants. When they had risen still higher he looked and saw the earth with a sea surrounding it. After climbing still higher, he came into a region of darkness and could not see what was above him nor what was below him. He became frightened and threw the meat down. The eagles followed it, darting downward. When the mountains saw them coming near and heard their noise, they became afraid and almost moved from their places, but did not do so. As Allah says, ‘Verily they have plotted their plot, and their plot is with Allah, even if their plot were one whereby the mountains should be moved.’” [Qur’an 14:46]

I recognize that Islamic Hadith are designed to explain the Qur’an, but this one is counterproductive. And sadly, there is no excuse. These fables were concocted a thousand years after the frivolity of pagan myths had been exposed to reason. This is long after Moses, David, Solomon, Plato, Socrates, and Aristotle enlightened the civilized world.

With that in mind, let’s examine the Qur’anic problem Muhammad was trying to solve. In the 41<sup>st</sup> verse of the 14<sup>th</sup> surah, we read, “Think not that Allah is oblivious.... Hastening forward, their heads upraised, their eyes staring aghast and their hearts vacant. Warn people of the day when the punishment shall be inflicted upon them. Then will the wicked say: ‘Lord, give us a respite, (so) we shall respond to Your call and follow the apostles.’ ‘What! did you not swear before (that) there will be no reverse for us!’” Evidently the inebriated eagles didn’t have reverse either. *014.046* “And they are plotting their plots, but their plan is evident to Allah, even though their plot is so adroit as to make the mountains move.” So, there you have it. Muhammad needed to come up with a plot that was capable of making the mountains move for the Qur’an to make sense. The drunken eagle bit was perfect.

The surah that claims Allah isn’t “oblivious” ends with these words: *014.047* “Therefore do not think Allah will fail in His promise to His prophet; surely Allah is Mighty, the Lord of Retribution.” That’s pretty clear, and so is this: “On the day the earth shall be changed into a different earth...you will see the guilty bound together in chains. Their

shirts will be made of molten pitch and the fire will cover their faces so that Allah may reward each soul." Why do a billion people worship such a sadistic "god?"

The Nimrodian Tradition continues to scuttle any remnant of credibility Islam may have otherwise retained: *Tabari II:108* "They took off from Jerusalem and they fell down in the Mountain of Smoke. When Nimrod saw that this method would accomplish nothing, he began building the tower—taller and taller. Then he voided excrement though it. Allah seized his building by its foundations and the roof fell down upon them and doom came upon them whence they knew not." [Qur'an 16:26] Dare we poke through the excrement and find Allah's little problem? Or do we just chalk this one up to diarrhea of the mouth?

We've come this far. Why give up now? Hold your nose if you must. Verses 22-25 of the 16<sup>th</sup> surah say that non-Muslims are puffed up with pride (probably from holding their noses) and living in denial. But Allah is on to them like stink on...well, you know what. The Big Guy asks, "What has your Lord sent down?" They answer, 'Tales from long ago.'" In other words, a blend of plagiarism and stolen Hebrew and Babylonian myths. As you will discover in the "Source Material" appendix, the Islamic clerics in Baghdad who fleshed out the Qur'an in the eighth century attempted to make it seem more religious by usurping myths and fables from the Talmud, uninspired Jewish folklore.

That somehow leads us to this: *016.026* "Those that have gone before them had also conspired; [Have you noticed how many plots there are in the Qur'an? Everybody has a conspiracy going, even Allah.] Then Allah uprooted their structure from its foundation; the roof fell over them from above, and punishment came upon them from where they did not suspect." Okay, I understand. Without the context of the Hadith the Qur'an doesn't make sense. But with the context, *nothing* makes sense.

*Tabari II:109* "Then it fell, and on that day the languages of mankind became confused from fright, and mankind came to speak seventy-three languages. Before that the only language had been Syriac. It was called Babel." In the midst of the stench, there are some pearls. The first written languages, Sumerian and then Akkadian, were created around 3000 B.C. They were used by the Sumerians, Babylonians, and Assyrians. Aramaic, which may have been derived from Phoenician, was used as the basis for Syriac some 3,000 years later. But the confession is enlightening. The Syrian Christians were the first to write Arabic. They developed its alphabet in the sixth century. Written Arabic, from which the word "Qur'an" was derived, didn't make its way into Central Arabia, and therefore to Mecca, until after Muhammad alleges the Qur'an was "revealed" to him in *Arabic*. So this ridiculous notion that Syriac was the first language is designed to solve a problem our Muslim pals have with their timeline. The alphabet of the Qur'an was actually *unknown* when "the book" was revealed.

As we move through this collection of "inspired" Hadith, we are given another glimpse behind the veil of Islam. "We are only growing farther from heaven," Nimrod said. 'Descend!'" Now you know which direction to look to find

Allah. *Tabari II:109* “The unbelievers were Nimrod and Nebuchadnezzar, while the believers were Solomon bin David and Alexander.” And I’ll bet you didn’t know that the resolutely pagan Alexander the Great was a monotheistic Muslim or that the devoutly Jewish King Solomon was Islamic.

“In the same way, Nebuchadnezzar was warlord of the lands extending from Ahwaz to the land of the Byzantines lying west of the Tigris, before Luhrasb. He was bruised by fighting the Turks.” Eighth grade history and a good timeline would do these boys a world of good.

But unfortunately, they were up to no good. Not even twenty percent of this has been believable. We have been regaled with a steady stream of impossibilities, contradictions, and fairytales. This has got to be the most-feeble attempt at religion ever postulated by man.

A crime has been committed. The evidence commands a verdict of guilty. There is a zero probability that these words were inspired by God. That means Muhammad lied: he was not a prophet, and Allah was not God.

But that’s good news, not bad. It frees us to explore the more important questions. We can now focus on *how* the scam was compiled, and more importantly, *why*. Once we understand how and why we will know *what* can be done to stop Islam before it kills more of them—and us.